Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/079,878	KATOH ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Christopher RoDee	1756
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Christopher RoDee</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Kirsten Grueneberg</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 30 August 2004	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None		
Claims discussed: 22		
Prior art documents discussed: None		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: The Examiner noted that claim 22 as presented is dependent on canceled claim 20 rather than claim 21. The Examiner suggested an Examiner's amendment to correct this problem and counsel agreed to that amendment, which is attached.		
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	e examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview. since the interview
	1681	
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Signature	gnature – if appropriate)